

ON FUZZY GENERALIZED PREOPEN SETS

A. Swaminathan and Margaret Sheela*

Department of Mathematics,
Government Arts College (Autonomous),
Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu - 612002, INDIA

E-mail : asnathanway@gmail.com

*Department of Mathematics
Annamalai University,
Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu - 608002, INDIA

E-mail : mshee1836@gmail.com

(Received: Mar. 12, 2025 Accepted: Aug. 24, 2025 Published: Aug. 30, 2025)

Abstract: We aim to show in this paper that fuzzy μ -preopen sets of a GFTS or fuzzy μ -space X may be equivalent to fuzzy μ -open in X . Moreover we portray generalized fuzzy paracompactness of a fuzzy μ -space X via fuzzy μ -preopen sets in X .

Keywords and Phrases: Fuzzy μ -preopen set, fuzzy μ -dense, fuzzy μ -locally finite, fuzzy μ -paracompact.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54D20.

1. Introduction

Chang [3] presented fuzzy topology after the discovery of fuzzy sets by Zadeh [14]. The notion of generalized topology proposed by Csaszar in [6]. Let I^X denotes non empty set X . A fuzzy subcollection μ of I^X is called a generalized fuzzy topology [4] on X if $0_X \in \mu$ and $\bigvee \{\xi_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta\} \in \mu$ whenever $\xi_\alpha \in \mu$ for every $\alpha \in \Delta$. The terms FS, $F\mu$ -O, $F\mu$ -PO and GFTS stands for fuzzy set, fuzzy μ -open, fuzzy μ -preopen and generalized fuzzy topological space respectively. A fuzzy set $\xi \in \mu$ is called fuzzy μ -open [4] of (X, μ) . The complement of fuzzy μ -open set

is called fuzzy μ -closed of (X, μ) . A FS λ of X , the intersection of all fuzzy μ -closed sets containing λ is the generalized fuzzy closure of λ and is denoted by $c_\mu(\xi)$. Also for a fuzzy set ξ of X , the union of all fuzzy μ -open sets contained in ξ is the generalized fuzzy interior of ξ and is denoted by $i_\mu(\xi)$. For our better understanding, a FS ξ of X is μ -open (resp. fuzzy μ -closed) if and only if $\xi = i_\mu(\xi)$ (resp. fuzzy $\xi = c_\mu(\xi)$). Also for a FS ξ of X , we have $c_\mu(\xi) = 1_X - i_\mu(\xi)$ [4].

A fuzzy set ξ of X is called fuzzy μ -preopen [5] if $\xi \subset i_\mu(c_\mu(\xi))$. The complement of a fuzzy μ -preopen set is called fuzzy μ -preclosed. Hence a FS ξ of X is fuzzy μ -preclosed if $c_\mu(i_\mu(\xi)) \subset \xi$. Analogously a FS ξ of X is fuzzy μ -preopen iff there exists a fuzzy μ -open set β such that $\xi \subset \beta \subset c_\mu(\xi)$. Related this paper recent studies have been found in [1], [2], [9] and [11]. Swaminathan and Sheela [13] explored a covering property namely fuzzy μ -precompactness via fuzzy μ -preopen sets. In this article, we obtain some more properties of fuzzy μ -preopen sets on GFTS or fuzzy μ -spaces.

2. Fuzzy μ -preopen Sets

We now introduce the main results:

Definition 2.1. A FS γ of X is said to be fuzzy μ -dense if $c_\mu(\gamma) = 1_X$.

Every $F\mu$ -O sets in X are $F\mu$ -PO in X but the converse need not be true. This will lead us to know that when $F\mu$ -PO sets in X may be $F\mu$ -O in X . In light of this, we shall first instigate the following.

Definition 2.2. A GFTS or fuzzy μ -space X is said to be a fuzzy μ -door space if every fuzzy subset of X is either $F\mu$ -O or fuzzy μ -closed.

Theorem 2.1. Each $F\mu$ -PO set of a fuzzy μ -door space is $F\mu$ -O.

Proof. Let ξ be a $F\mu$ -PO set of a fuzzy μ -door space X . Now $\xi \subset i_\mu(c_\mu(\xi))$. Suppose ξ is not $F\mu$ -O, then it is fuzzy μ -closed. Then $c_\mu(\xi) = \xi$ gives $i_\mu(c_\mu(\xi)) = i_\mu(\xi)$. By the definition, $i_\mu(\xi) = i_\mu(c_\mu(\xi)) \subset \xi$. Since ξ is not $F\mu$ -O, $i_\mu(\xi) = i_\mu(c_\mu(\xi)) \subsetneq \xi$ which constitutes a contradiction that $\xi \subset i_\mu(\xi) = i_\mu(c_\mu(\xi))$.

Theorem 2.2. Each singleton in X is either $F\mu$ -O or fuzzy μ -closed if each $F\mu$ -PO set of X is $F\mu$ -O.

Proof. Assume that for $x_\alpha \in X$, $\{x_\alpha\}$ is not fuzzy μ -closed. Then for each $F\mu$ -PO set in X is $F\mu$ -O, $\{x_\alpha\}$ is not fuzzy μ -preclosed and so

$$c_\mu(i_\mu(\{x_\alpha\})) \not\subset \{x_\alpha\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Case i: $\{x_\alpha\}$ is $F\mu$ -O. Then $c_\mu(i_\mu(\{x_\alpha\})) = c_\mu(\{x_\alpha\})$ which contradicts (2.1).

Case ii. $\{x_\alpha\}$ is not $F\mu$ -O. Then $c_\mu(i_\mu(\{x_\alpha\})) = c_\mu(0_X) = 0_X$ which contradicts (2.1).

Therefore $\{x_\alpha\}$ is $F\mu$ -O.

Remark 2.3. In a GFTS, Theorem 2.2 may not be true since in a GFTS, X may not be $F\mu$ -O and 0_X may not be fuzzy μ -closed, This follows from the following Example.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Then $\gamma_1 = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{0}{d}$ and $\gamma_2 = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{0}{d}$ are two fuzzy sets: Let $\mu = \{0_X, \gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ be a generalized fuzzy topology. Now each $F\mu$ -PO set is $F\mu$ -O but $\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{0}{c} + \frac{0}{d}$ is neither $F\mu$ -O nor fuzzy μ -closed.

Theorem 2.5. Each fuzzy μ -dense set in X is $F\mu$ -O if each $F\mu$ -PO set in X is $F\mu$ -O.

Proof. Let λ be fuzzy μ -dense in X . Then $i_\mu(c_\mu(\lambda)) = 1_X$, as X is $F\mu$ -O in X . Clearly $\lambda \subset i_\mu(c_\mu(\lambda))$. Hence λ is $F\mu$ -PO. From assumption, we conclude that λ is $F\mu$ -O.

In Example 2.4, each $F\mu$ -PO set (F, μ) is $F\mu$ -O and $\gamma_4 = \frac{0}{a} + \frac{0}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}$ is fuzzy μ -dense. But γ_4 is not $F\mu$ -O in X . So we deduce that Theorem 2.5 need not be true in a GFTS.

A FS λ in a GFTS X is purely $F\mu$ -PO if λ is $F\mu$ -PO but not $F\mu$ -O. Hence if λ is purely $F\mu$ -PO in X , then $\lambda \neq 0_X, 1_X$. It follows that X is fuzzy μ -dense in a GFTS.

Theorem 2.6. There exists a fuzzy μ -dense set other than X in a GFTS X if X contains a purely $F\mu$ -PO set.

Proof. Consider a $F\mu$ -PO set λ in a GFTS X . Now $\lambda \subset i_\mu(c_\mu(\lambda)) \subset c_\mu(\lambda)$. If $c_\mu(\lambda) \neq 1_X$, then $\lambda \neq 1_X$ is fuzzy μ -dense in X . If $c_\mu(\lambda) = 1_X$, replace $\beta = i_\mu(c_\mu(\lambda))$. Observe that $\beta \neq 1_X$ and $c_\mu(\lambda) = c_\mu(\beta)$. Now

$$c_\mu((1_X - \beta) \cup \lambda) \supset c_\mu(1_X - \beta) \cup c_\mu(\lambda) = (1_X - \beta) \cup c_\mu(\beta) \supset (1_X - \beta) \cup \beta = 1_X.$$

Therefore we have $c_\mu((1_X - \beta) \cup \lambda) = 1_X$. Since λ is purely $F\mu$ -PO and $\lambda \subset \beta, \beta - \lambda \neq 0_X$. Consequently, it can be inferred that $(1_X - \beta) \cup \lambda \neq 1_X$. Therefore we get $(1_X - \beta) \cup \lambda \neq 1_X$ is fuzzy μ -dense in X .

Corollary 2.7. Let λ be $F\mu$ -PO in a GFTS X and there exist $\beta \subset X$ such that $\lambda \subset \beta \subset c_\mu(\lambda)$. Then $(1_X - \beta) \cup \lambda$ is fuzzy μ -dense in X .

Proof. Analogous to that of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.8. Each FS in GFTS X is $F\mu$ -PO iff each $F\mu$ -O set in X is fuzzy μ -closed.

Proof. Consider each FS of X is $F\mu$ -PO and ζ is $F\mu$ -O in X . So $1_X - \zeta$ is fuzzy

μ -closed. By assumption, $c_\mu(1_X - \zeta)$ is $F\mu$ -PO, we have

$$c_\mu(1_X - \zeta) \subset i_\mu(c_\mu(c_\mu(1_X - \zeta))) = i_\mu(c_\mu(1_X - \zeta)) \text{ since } 1_X - \zeta = c_\mu(1_X - \zeta) = i_\mu(1_X - \zeta).$$

So, we see that $1_X - \zeta = c_\mu(1_X - \zeta) \subset i_\mu(1_X - \zeta)$. By the definition, $i_\mu(1_X - \zeta) \subset 1_X - \zeta$.

Thus, we have $1_X - \zeta = i_\mu(1_X - \zeta)$ and which implies $1_X - \zeta$ is $F\mu$ -O and hence ζ is fuzzy μ -closed.

Conversely, let each $F\mu$ -O set in X is fuzzy μ -closed and λ be any fuzzy subset of X . Then $1_X - c_\mu(\lambda)$ is $F\mu$ -O and also fuzzy μ -closed. Hence $1_X - c_\mu(\lambda) = c_\mu(1_X - c_\mu(\lambda)) = 1_X - i_\mu(c_\mu(\lambda))$ which implies $c_\mu(\lambda) = i_\mu(c_\mu(\lambda))$. Therefore $\lambda \subset c_\mu(\lambda) = i_\mu(c_\mu(\lambda))$. Thus λ is $F\mu$ -PO.

Theorem 2.9. *Each subset $\lambda (\neq 0_X, 1_X)$ of GFTS X is $F\mu$ -PO iff each $F\mu$ -O set $\zeta (\neq 0_X, 1_X)$ in X is fuzzy μ -closed.*

Proof. Analogous to that of Theorem 2.8.

3. Fuzzy Generalized Paracompactness via Fuzzy μ -preopen Sets

A $F\mu$ -O cover of X is defined to be the collection \mathcal{M} of $F\mu$ -O sets of X such that $\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} M = 1_X$. Now in GFTS, X may not be $F\mu$ -O and so even the union of all $F\mu$ -O sets of X may not be equal to 1_X . Now definition of $F\mu$ -O covers become invalid. In order to avoid such invalidity, we extend the existing ideas of covering properties in GT spaces slightly.

Assume that $X_\mu = \bigcup_{V \in \mu} V$. Obviously, X_μ is $F\mu$ -O. If X is a GFTS with $X \notin \mu$, then X_μ is not fuzzy μ -closed. Emphasize in the event of fuzzy μ -spaces, $X_\mu = 1_X$. A collection \mathcal{M} of fuzzy subsets of X is called a fuzzy cover of X if $\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{M}} V = X_\mu$.

We write ‘ $F\mu$ -O collection of X ’ and ‘ $F\mu$ -PO collection of X ’ to mean a collection consisting $F\mu$ -O sets and $F\mu$ -PO sets respectively of X . A $F\mu$ -O collection (resp. $F\mu$ -PO collection) \mathcal{M} of X is said to be a $F\mu$ -O (resp. $F\mu$ -PO) cover of X if $\bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{M}} V = X_\mu$.

Definition 3.1. [10] *A fuzzy cover \mathcal{A} of X is a fuzzy refinement of the fuzzy cover \mathcal{B} of X if $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \exists \beta \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\alpha < \beta$.*

Definition 3.2. [10] *A collection \mathcal{G} of fuzzy subsets of X is called fuzzy locally finite if for each $x_\alpha \in X$ there exists a fuzzy open set δ with $x_\alpha \in \delta$ meeting only finitely many members of \mathcal{G} .*

However, if \mathcal{H} is a $F\mu$ -PO cover and \mathcal{G} is a $F\mu$ -O cover of X , then \mathcal{H} is called a $F\mu$ -PO refinement of \mathcal{G} .

Definition 3.3. [12] Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two fuzzy covers of a GFTS X . \mathcal{A} is said to be a fuzzy s -refinement of \mathcal{B} if for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \subsetneq B$. An s -refinement \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{B} is said to be a fuzzy open s -refinement of \mathcal{B} if all members of \mathcal{B} are fuzzy open.

Definition 3.4. A collection \mathcal{G} of fuzzy subsets of X is called fuzzy μ -locally finite if for each $x_\alpha \in X_\mu$, there exists a $F\mu$ -O set δ with $x_\alpha \in \delta$ meeting only finitely many members of \mathcal{G} .

Definition 3.5. A space X is called a fuzzy μ -paracompact space if each $F\mu$ -O cover of X has a fuzzy μ -locally finite $F\mu$ -O refinement.

Definition 3.6. A $F\mu$ -PO set β in a space X is said to be capped by a $F\mu$ -O set if $\beta \subset \delta$ and $\beta \subset \eta$ for $F\mu$ -O sets δ, η in X , then there exists a $F\mu$ -O set γ such that $\beta \subset \gamma \subset \delta \cap \eta$.

Example 3.1. Let $U = [0, +\infty)$ and for each $x \in U$.

$$\text{Let } \Omega_x(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-y} & \text{if } 0 < y < x \\ 0 & \text{if } y \geq x, \end{cases}$$

$$\Omega_\infty(y) = e^{-y}, \forall y \in U,$$

$$\mu = \{0, \Omega_\infty\} \cup \{\Omega_x, \forall x \in U\},$$

then it can be easily verified that (X, μ) is a GFTS. It is observed that $F\mu$ -PO sets are always contained in a $F\mu$ -O set. Consider two fuzzy sets

$$\Omega_w(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-w} & \text{if } 0 < w < y < x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \geq x, \end{cases} \quad \Omega_z(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-z} & \text{if } 0 < w < z < y < x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \geq x. \end{cases}$$

If a $F\mu$ -PO set $\Omega_k(y), \forall k \in U$ is contained in the $F\mu$ -O sets $\Omega_w(y), \Omega_z(y)$, then $\Omega_k(y)$ is capped by the $F\mu$ -O set $\Omega_z(y)$. Therefore it is clear that all $F\mu$ -PO sets in X are capped by a $F\mu$ -O set in X .

Theorem 3.2. If a $F\mu$ -PO collection $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\beta | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of X is fuzzy μ -locally finite, then there exists a $F\mu$ -O collection $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha | M_\beta \subset G_\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta\}$ such that \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{H} = \{c_\mu(G) | G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ both are fuzzy μ -locally finite.

Then if \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy cover of X , then \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} both are also fuzzy covers of 1_X .

Proof. The collection \mathcal{M} of $F\mu$ -PO sets is fuzzy μ -locally finite. Then for each

$x_\alpha \in X_\mu$, there exists a $F\mu$ -O set N_{x_α} such that $M_\beta \cap N_{x_\alpha} \neq 0_X$ for finitely many $\beta \in \Delta$. Let $M_{\beta_k} \cap N_{x_\alpha} \neq 0_X$ for $\beta_k \in \Delta, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M_\beta \cap N_{x_\alpha} = 0_X$ for $\beta \in \Delta - \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$ which implies $c_\mu(M_\beta) \cap N_{x_\alpha} = 0_X$ for $\beta \in \Delta - \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$. Now for each $\beta \in \Delta$, there exists a $F\mu$ -O set G_β such that $M_\beta \subset G_\beta \subset c_\mu(M_\beta)$. It gives $c_\mu(M_\beta) = c_\mu(G_\beta)$. So $c_\mu(G_\beta) \cap N_{x_\alpha} = 0_X$ for $\beta \in \Delta - \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n\}$. Hence we see that N_{x_α} may intersect only finitely many members of $\mathcal{H} = \{c_\mu(G_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Delta\}$. Thus \mathcal{H} is fuzzy μ -locally finite.

Since for each $\alpha \in \Delta, G_\alpha \subset c_\mu(G_\alpha)$ and the collection $\mathcal{H} = \{c_\mu(G_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is fuzzy μ -locally finite, the collection $\{G_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is also fuzzy μ -locally finite.

Consider \mathcal{M} is a fuzzy cover of X . Then for each $x_\alpha \in X_\mu$, there exists a $M_\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x_\alpha \in M_\beta$. Hence $x_\alpha \in M_\beta \subset G_\alpha \subset c_\mu(M_\beta) = c_\mu(G_\alpha)$. Hence it follows that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} both are fuzzy covers of X .

Theorem 3.3. *Let $F\mu$ -PO sets are capped by $F\mu$ -O sets in X . Then the fuzzy space X is fuzzy μ -paracompact if and only if each $F\mu$ -O covers of X has a fuzzy μ -locally finite $F\mu$ -PO refinement.*

Proof. Since $F\mu$ -O sets on a space X are also $F\mu$ -PO in X . Necessity holds.

To prove the sufficiency, let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\alpha | \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a $F\mu$ -O cover of X and $\mathcal{H} = \{S_\beta | \beta \in \Gamma\}$ be a fuzzy μ -locally finite μ -preopen refinement of \mathcal{M} . By Theorem 3.2, there exists a fuzzy μ -locally finite $F\mu$ -O cover $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\beta | G_\beta \subset S_\beta, \beta \in \Gamma\}$ and for each $x_\alpha \in X_\mu$, there exists a $\beta(x_\alpha) \in \Gamma$ such that $x_\alpha \in S_{\beta(x_\alpha)} \subset U_{\beta(x_\alpha)}$. But for $\beta(x_\alpha) \in \Gamma$, there is a $\gamma(x_\alpha) \in \Delta$ such that $x_\alpha \in S_{\beta(x_\alpha)} \subset M_{\gamma(x_\alpha)}$. Since $F\mu$ -PO sets in X are capped by $F\mu$ -O sets, there exists a $F\mu$ -O set Q_{x_α} such that $x_\alpha \in S_{\beta(x_\alpha)} \subset Q_{x_\alpha} \subset G_{\beta(x_\alpha)} \cap M_{\gamma(x_\alpha)} \subset M_{\gamma(x_\alpha)}$. So $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_{x_\alpha} | x_\alpha \in X_\mu\}$ is a $F\mu$ -O refinement of \mathcal{M} . Since \mathcal{G} is fuzzy μ -locally finite and observe that $Q_{x_\alpha} \subset G_{\beta(x_\alpha)}$, \mathcal{Q} is also fuzzy μ -locally finite. Hence we get a fuzzy μ -locally finite $F\mu$ -O refinement $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_{x_\alpha} | x_\alpha \in X_\mu\}$ of \mathcal{M} . Therefore X is fuzzy μ -paracompact.

4. Conclusion

In this paper we have studied and investigated fuzzy μ -preopen sets of a GFTS or fuzzy μ -space X and which may be equivalent to fuzzy μ -open in X . Further we extended our results to covering properties that is generalized fuzzy paracompactness of a fuzzy μ -space X via fuzzy μ -preopen sets in X . The notions of ideas can further be investigated in various covering and connectedness as well as in many topological properties of the literature.

References

- [1] Basumatary A. A., Sarma D. J. and Tripathy B. C., Almost M-precontinuous functions in biminimal structure spaces, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 14(4), (2021), 691-706.

- [2] Basumatary A. A., Sarma D. J. and Tripathy B. C., Preopen sets and prelocally closed sets in generalised topology and minimal structure spaces, *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica*, 14(1), (2022), 23-36.
- [3] Chang C. L., Fuzzy topological spaces, *Math. Anal. Appl.*, 24 (1968), 182-190.
- [4] Chetty G. P., Generalized Fuzzy Topology, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 24, (2008), 91-96.
- [5] Chetty G. P., Contribution to the study on some aspects of fuzzy c compactness in fuzzy topological spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Periyar University, India, 2007.
- [6] Császár Á., Generalized open sets in generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 106(1-2) (2005), 351-357.
- [7] Császár Á., Extremally disconnected generalized topologies, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.*, 47 (2004), 91-96.
- [8] Császár Á., Generalized topology, generalized continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96 (4) (2002), 351-357.
- [9] Das S., Das R., Tripathy B. C., Neutrosophic pre-I-open set in neutrosophic ideal bitopological space, *Soft Computing*, 26 (12), (2022), 5457-5464.
- [10] Malghan S. R. and Benchalli S. S., On fuzzy topological spaces, *Glasnik Mat.*, 16 (36), (1981), 313-325.
- [11] Singh M., Gupta A., Tripathy B. C., Covering properties and product spaces of bipolar fuzzy topology, *Applied Sciences*, 24 (2022), 261-269.
- [12] Swaminathan A. and Sivaraja S., Fuzzy compactness, fuzzy regularity via fuzzy maximal open and fuzzy minimal closed sets, *J. Appl. Math. and Informatics*, 40 (2022), 185-190.
- [13] Swaminathan A. and Margaret Sheela, A covering property with respect to fuzzy generalized preopen sets, *Utilitas Mathematica*, Vol. 122(1), (2025), 1953-1962.
- [14] Zadeh L. A., Fuzzy sets, *Information and control*, 8 (1965), 338-353.

This page intentionally left blank.